

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KRYST ZAWADZKI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DEPT OF JUSTICE,

Defendant.

22-CV-3738 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

On May 6, 2022, the Court received this *pro se* action, purportedly brought by Kryst Zawadzki. Court records show that this submission was filed by Young Yil Jo (“Jo”). *See Zawadzki v. Ins. or Dept. of Justice*, ECF 1:21-CV-8272, 2 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2021) (noting that Jo had filed multiple lawsuits in the name of Zawadzki, also spelled Zawadzki); *Zawadzki v. Dept. of Justice*, ECF 1:22-CV-0165, 2 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 9, 2022) (same). In *In Re Young Yil Jo*, ECF 1:14-CV-7793, 3 (S.D.N.Y. May 6, 2015), the court barred Jo from filing any new civil action or proceeding in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York without first obtaining leave of the court.

Because the Court finds that this action was filed by Jo, the Court dismisses this action without prejudice to any civil action that Kryst Zawadzki may wish to bring in the future.

The Court advises Jo that the orders issued in *In re Young Yil Jo*, 1:14-CV-7793 (S.D.N.Y.), remain in effect. Jo is enjoined from filing any new civil action or proceeding in this court without first obtaining leave of the court. Jo also remains barred from filing any document in this court in the name of another person.

The Court will continue to dismiss any civil action or proceeding filed by Jo in the name of another person without prejudice to any civil action that person may wish to bring in the

future. Should Jo persist in filing civil actions in this court in the name of other persons, the Court may impose additional restrictions and sanctions on him. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1651.

### CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses this action without prejudice to any civil action that Kryst Zawadzki may wish to bring in the future.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).<sup>1</sup>

SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 10, 2022  
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN  
Chief United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court would normally direct the Clerk of Court to mail a copy of this order to Young Yil Jo, at 1932 E. Washington Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91104, his address of record for *In Re Young Yil Jo*, ECF 1:14-CV-7793, but Jo is no longer at that address. Mailings by the court to that address in several of the other actions filed by Jo were returned with notations on the envelopes indicating that the forwarding address Jo had provided to the United States Postal Service had expired. *See Zawadzki v. Dep't of Justice*, No. 22-CV-1973 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 9, 2022) (order of dismissal and civil judgment mailed to Jo returned); *Zawadzki v. Dep't of Justice*, No. 22-CV-1068 (LTS) (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 10, 2022) (same).